



JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

THE CHIEF JUSTICE
OF THE UNITED STATES
Presiding

April 9, 2009

JAMES C. DUFF
Secretary

Honorable Lamar S. Smith
United States House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative Smith:

On March 17, 2009, the Judicial Conference of the United States approved a Five-Year Courthouse Project Plan for fiscal years 2010-2014. The plan sets forth the Judiciary's priorities for courthouse funding each year and is provided to the General Services Administration (GSA), which is responsible for constructing courthouses to meet the Judiciary's needs. The plan was also recently transmitted to leaders of the House and Senate committees that authorize courthouse projects and appropriate courthouse funds. A copy of the plan is enclosed for your information.

Please note that the San Antonio, Texas, courthouse is the fourth project on the list of courthouse projects that we are proposing for funding in fiscal year 2010. GSA requires an additional \$4 million in fiscal year 2010 to complete the design of the San Antonio courthouse project. A site has been identified for the new courthouse and will be obtained through a land-swap between GSA and the local community.

We are hopeful that Congress will authorize and appropriate the funding necessary to complete the design for the new courthouse in San Antonio, Texas. If you have any questions about the project, please do not hesitate to contact our Office of Legislative Affairs at (202) 502-1700.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Jim Duff", written over a horizontal line.

James C. Duff
Secretary

Enclosure

Identical letter sent to: Honorable Charles A. Gonzalez

Five-Year Courthouse Project Plan for FYs 2010-2014
Approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States

March 17, 2009

(estimated dollars in millions)

FY 2010			Cost	Score	Est. Net Annual Rent
1	Austin, TX	Add'l. S&D / C	\$116.1	82.0	\$6.5
2	Salt Lake City, UT	Add'l D / C	\$211.0	67.9	\$11.4
3	Savannah, GA	Add'l. D	\$7.9	61.3	\$3.5
4	San Antonio, TX	Add'l. D	\$4.0	61.3	\$9.2
5	Mobile, AL	Add'l. S&D / C	\$190.3	59.8	\$4.7
			\$529.3		\$35.4

FY 2011			Cost	Score	Est. Net Annual Rent
1	Nashville, TN	Add'l. S&D / C	\$183.9	67.3	\$7.0
2	Savannah, GA	C	\$95.5	61.3	\$3.5
3	San Jose, CA	Add'l. S	\$38.6	54.5	\$9.4
4	Greenbelt, MD	S&D	\$14.0	53.8	\$1.6
			\$332.0		\$21.5

FY 2012			Cost	Score	Est. Net Annual Rent
1	San Antonio, TX	C	\$142.2	61.3	\$9.2
2	Charlotte, NC	C	\$126.4	58.5	\$7.1
3	Greenville, SC	C	\$79.1	58.1	\$4.1
4	Harrisburg, PA	C	\$57.3	56.8	\$5.4
5	San Jose, CA	D	\$17.2	54.5	\$9.4
			\$422.2		\$35.2

FY 2013			Cost	Score	Est. Net Annual Rent
1	Norfolk, VA	C	\$104.7	57.4	\$5.1
2	Anniston, AL	C	\$20.4	57.1	\$1.1
3	Toledo, OH	C	\$109.3	54.4	\$5.9
4	Greenbelt, MD	C	\$170.0	53.8	\$1.6
			\$404.4		\$13.8

FY 2014			Cost	Score	Est. Net Annual Rent
1	San Jose, CA	C	\$223.9	54.5	\$9.4
			\$223.9		\$9.4

S = Site; D = Design; C = Construction; Addl. = Additional
All cost estimates subject to final verification with GSA.